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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Appropriate mechanical function of the uterine cervix is critical for maintaining a pregnancy to term so that the fetus can develop fully. At the end of pregnancy, however, the cervix must allow delivery, which requires it to markedly soften, shorten and dilate. There are multiple pathways to spontaneous preterm birth, the leading global cause of death in children less than 5 years old, but all culminate in premature cervical change, because that is the last step in the final common pathway to delivery. The mechanisms underlying premature cervical change in pregnancy are poorly understood, and therefore current clinical protocols to assess preterm birth risk are limited to surrogate markers of mechanical function, such as sonographically measured cervical length. This is what motivates us to study the cervix, for which we propose investigating clinical cervical function in parallel with a quantitative engineering evaluation of its structural function. We aspire to develop a common translational language, as well as generate a rigorous integrated clinical-engineering framework for assessing cervical mechanical function at the cellular to organ level. In this review, we embark on that challenge by describing the current landscape of clinical, biochemical, and engineering concepts associated with the mechanical function of the cervix during pregnancy. Our goal is to use this common platform to inspire novel approaches to delineate normal and abnormal cervical function in pregnancy.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

The cervix is contiguous with the lower part of the uterus. Its proximal portion is located in the abdomen and its distal portion in the vagina. It has a narrow central canal which runs along its entire length, connecting the uterine cavity and the lumen of the vagina. The opening of this canal into the uterus is called the internal os and the opening into the vagina the external os (Fig. 1A). During pregnancy, the primary biomechanical function of the cervix is to maintain the fetus within the uterus. This requires withstanding multiple forces from the uterus, including the weight of the growing fetus and amniotic sac, as well as passive pressure from the uterine wall. Then, in a dramatic reversal of roles, the cervix markedly softens, shortens and dilates to allow delivery of the fetus. Shortly after delivery, the cervix reforms into its previous shape and consistency. How the cervix manages these complex dynamic changes is an interesting and understudied biomechanics problem.

Critical problems can occur when the timing and extent of the biomechanical changes are altered. Specifically, premature softening, shortening and dilation, which may be considered early mechanical failure, occurs in cases of spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB). The underlying pathophysiology of these changes is poorly understood despite that preterm birth affects 15 million babies annually, is the leading cause of childhood (≤5 years old) death, and in 2013 was responsible for 1 million deaths (World Health Organization, 2014). The rate of preterm birth has significantly decreased by 2 decades of intense research effort into its pathophysiologies and associated molecular mechanisms. We believe that this lack of progress is partly due to lack of crosstalk between clinicians, engineers and basic scientists, and that progress will require multidisciplinary collaboration between previously distinct areas of expertise such as clinical obstetrics and engineering.
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To begin that dialogue, here we provide an engineering framework for studying the mechanical function of the cervix during pregnancy. The main steps include modeling the material behavior of the cervix, characterizing the pelvic anatomy, capturing the appropriate contact conditions between the pelvic soft tissues, and understanding the relevant loading and boundary conditions. Accomplishing these tasks is a challenge because, in addition to understanding the basic material and anatomical parameters, one must consider the changes that occur throughout pregnancy to accommodate the growth and ultimate delivery of the fetus. However, overcoming these engineering challenges could lead to a better understanding of normal and pathological cervical deformation.

2. The clinical problem of preterm birth

2.1. Scope of the problem

Preterm birth is defined as delivery between 20 weeks and 36 weeks + 6 days gestation. Medically indicated preterm birth may result from maternal factors (e.g., preeclampsia or placenta previa) or fetal factors (e.g., oligohydramnios or growth restriction). Spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB) was formerly divided into two general categories, namely cervical dysfunction (cervical insufficiency or cervical incompetence) or preterm labor (typically thought to be the result of intrauterine infection or bleeding). A more current understanding is that sPTB from all causes can be seen as part of an extremely complex continuum involving multiple phenotypes (Barros et al., 2015; Solomon and lams, 2014). The etiology of sPTB is multifactorial, involving diverse precipitating factors such as infection and inflammation, bleeding, poor nutrition, demographics, stress, ethnicity and race, genetic predispositions and many others, all presumably with individual, and overlapping, molecular mechanisms (Gravett et al., 2010). A recent attempt to categorize phenotypes of preterm birth showed that approximately 25% of these births are neither medically indicated nor associated with any known phenotype (Barros et al., 2015).

Organizations such as the March of Dimes have recently celebrated a decline in the preterm birth rate (from 12.8% in 2006 to 11.4% by 2013), but data from the Centers for Disease Control shows that the sPTB rate in 2012 was nearly identical to that in 1997 (Martin et al., 2013; Schoen et al., in press; Solomon and lams, 2014). Strategies to address known risk factors (e.g. genitourinary infection and poor nutrition) have been ineffective, as have drug therapies targeted against uterine contractions, infection, or inflammation (Gravett et al., 2010; Solomon and lams, 2014). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine promote intramuscular progesterone treatment in patients with a history of sPTB, and vaginal progesterone supplementation or cerclage (a suture tied around the cervix) in patients with a short cervix in the current pregnancy (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2012; Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine Publications Committee, 2012). Importantly however, the decline in preterm birth has been attributed primarily to provider education, which has resulted in fewer nonmedically indicated deliveries < 39 weeks, fewer teenage pregnancies, less smoking in pregnancy, and fewer twin and triplet pregnancies (Schoen et al., in press; Solomon and lams, 2014). Progesterone supplementation and cerclage in selected patients are “probably contributing” according to a 2015 review (Schoen et al., in press), but this is obviously unclear, as are the mechanisms by which these treatments work, which must contribute to the reason current interventions that are ineffective in the vast majority of patients (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2012; Conde-Agudelo et al., 2013; Grobman et al., 2012).

As stated recently by Norman and Shennan, the fact that 95% of sPTB is intractable to current therapies suggests that substantial further research is needed (Norman and Shennan, 2013). An understanding of the molecular mechanisms of the multiple pathways to sPTB is essential to the development of etiologic- and patient-specific interventions for patients at high risk and avoidance of unnecessary and potentially detrimental treatment in those at low risk (Feltovich et al., 2012; lams and Berghella, 2010). We think the cervix is the logical place to start this investigation because cervical ripening is the last step before labor and delivery in the sPTB pathway, the final common denominator of a multitude of overlapping etiologies (Gracie et al., 2011; Gravett et al., 2010).

2.2. The role of the cervix in PTB and the importance of cervical remodeling

Clinicians use terms such as softening, shortening, funneling, effacing, and dilating to describe the cervical deformation that occurs during pregnancy (Fig. 2). Collectively, these changes are called cervical remodeling and refer to both the tissue’s intrinsic material property changes and its resultant anatomical changes. Mouse models demonstrate a distinct separation between an early phase of remodeling that starts soon after conception and continues through delivery (cervical softening) and a later phase, near delivery, that involves rapid, marked softening and shortening (cervical ripening) (Akgul et al., 2012; Holt et al., 2011; Mahendroo, 2012; Read et al., 2007; Timmons et al., 2010a; Word et al., 2007). This process is not yet well characterized in human pregnancy. As in the mouse, cervical softening in human pregnancy begins early, a fact that was exploited to detect pregnancy as early as 6 weeks of gestation in the 19th century before diagnostic blood and urine tests were developed. Recent in vivo mechanical interrogation of the cervix (Badir et al., 2013a; Parra-Saavedra et al., 2011) supports...
the clinical finding of early, progressive cervical softening (see Section 4.2.2). Starting in mid-pregnancy, the normal human cervix begins to shorten until delivery, confirmed by longitudinal study of ultrasound cervical length (Iams et al., 1996). In addition, there is a clear relationship between cervical softening and the organization and composition of its extracellular matrix (ECM); specifically, cervical softening and shortening relate to dysfunctional ECM remodeling (House et al., 2009b).

Despite this, clinical assessment of softening remains entirely subjective; the clinician describes the cervix as soft, medium, or firm (Bishop, 1964). Compounding the matter, they often use the terms softening and ripening and remodeling interchangeably. This imprecision obscures the clinician’s ability to effectively describe a patient’s clinical findings to another clinician, let alone someone outside of the obstetric profession. To the engineer, cervical softness is a material property that must be defined with appropriate constitutive equations and cervical shortening is a tissue deformation that results from an evolving three-dimensional (3D) stress state and the intrinsic remodeling of the materials constituents (Fernandez et al., in press; House et al., 2012, 2013; Mahmoud et al., 2013; Paskaleva, 2007). However, the engineer currently has no effective means to apply these definitions to the clinical situation because of the language mismatch between clinicians and basic scientists. This obscures the search for specific markers of possible pathogenic processes, such as abnormal tissue material property changes or abnormal anatomical considerations. In other words, a common language seems fundamental to progress toward understanding the problem of spontaneous preterm birth.

3. The multi-scale mechanical environment of pregnancy

Studying and characterizing reproductive organs in real-time throughout gestation is understandably challenging. Pregnancy is a protected environment and accessing organs to measure either geometry or material properties during this time is difficult. There is a wide range of biologic length scales that determine the structural response of the reproductive organs during pregnancy (Fig. 1A). These factors include features of the pelvic anatomy and the hierarchical material characteristics of the cervix and its surrounding tissues, from the collagen fibrils (~10–500 nm) bundling together to form collagen fibers (~1–500 μm, Fig. 1C) and the collagen fibers bundling together in a preferred anatomic direction to form an overall tissue ultrastructure (~1–10 mm, Fig. 1B).

Insight into the physiologic loads experienced during pregnancy and the load-carrying capability of the cervix have been derived from finite element models (Fernandez et al., in press; House et al., 2012, 2013; Mahmoud et al., 2013; Paskaleva, 2007), mechanical and biochemical studies of ex vivo tissue specimens (Conrad et al., 1980; Conrad and Ueland, 1976, 1979; Fernandez et al., 2013; Can et al., 2015; Myers et al., 2008, 2010; Oxlund et al., 2010a,b; Petersen et al., 1991; Rechberger et al., 1988; Yoo et al., 2014), in vivo mechanical and biochemical interrogations of the cervix (Badir et al., 2013a; Bauer et al., 2007; Feltovich et al., 2010, 2012; Feltovich and Hall, 2013; Hee et al., 2014; House et al., 2005, 2009; Hricak et al., 1990; Maldjian et al., 1999; Mazza et al., 2006, 2013; Parra-Saavedra et al., 2011), and theoretical mechanics (Liao et al., 2014; Myers and Ateshian, 2014; Paskaleva, 2007). At the present time, there is no single set of correlating geometric and material property data from a single pregnant patient throughout gestation.

3.1. Anatomy of the pregnant pelvis organ and physiologic loading

Knowledge of the 3D anatomy of the cervix and surrounding structures is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the biomechanical mechanisms leading to clinically-observed cervical shortening (House et al., 2013). A short cervix, associated with an increased risk of sPTB, is clinically defined as less than 25 mm (To et al., 2001). An MRI study of the 3D geometry of the pelvis illustrates the diverse range of the volumetric dimensions of the uterus and the cervix (House et al., 2009). The cervix is generally 3 cm long and 2.5 cm in diameter, although these dimensions vary considerably between patients depending on age, number of previous births, and the menstrual cycle (Bauer et al., 2007). The cervix and upper vagina are supported in the pelvis by the cardinal and uterosacral ligaments, which maintain the position of the cervix during uterine growth (Ramanah et al., 2012). Within the uterus, the fetal membranes are in direct contact with the superior surface of the cervix at the internal os (Fig. 1A).

Both magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasound (US) imaging studies suggest that the anatomical structure most essential to normal cervical function is the internal os. Sonographic images of the cervix reveal that cervical shortening invariably begins at the internal os (Zilanti et al., 1995), where the cervix starts to dilate, leading to a funnelled cervical canal (Fig. 2). Early finite element
analysis (FEA) demonstrate this clinically-observed deformation pattern showing that the internal os opposes the lateral pull of the uterine wall and the hydrostatic forces of the uterine cavity (Paskaleva, 2007; House et al., 2012, 2013; Fernandez et al., in press). It is viewed that the internal os dilates first because tissue stresses are higher there compared with the external os (House et al., 2013), and the external os is not significantly loaded until the cervix has significantly shortened (Fernandez et al., in press).

Building FEA models is difficult because of the complexity of pregnancy-related anatomy, incomplete data on tissue properties and the difficulty in defining appropriate boundary conditions and contact. A full validation of these FEA models are still needed, but hypotheses can still be made about the key mechanical factors that influence the load-carrying capability of the cervix during pregnancy. These factors include: cervical material properties, cervical geometry, fetal membrane properties and adhesion, static loading, and uterine contractions.

Cervical material properties: The load-bearing region of the cervix is its dense collagen-rich core, called the cervical stroma (see Section 3.2). Its mechanical load bearing properties arise from the hierarchal organization of its collagen network. The material response of the cervical tissue to loading is nonlinear, anisotropic, and time-dependent (see Section 4). During pregnancy, the stroma undergoes a complex growth and remodeling process that is incompletely understood (Myers and Ateshian, 2014). The outcome of cervical remodeling is tissue that is orders of magnitude softer during pregnancy compared with nonpregnancy tissue (Table 1) (Conrad and Ueland, 1976; Myers et al., 2008, 2010; Rechberger et al., 1988; Yao et al., 2014). Premature softening is associated with preterm shortening and sPTB, but it is not clear how these material characteristics of the cervix influence its mechanical function under in vivo loading conditions.

Cervical geometry: The 3D geometry of the cervix and the uterocervical angle has an important effect on the load distribution and stretch pattern within the internal os (Fernandez et al., in press; Paskaleva, 2007). Large anatomical changes occur with fetal growth and these changes are associated with changes in cervical length, cervical volume, and uterocervical angle (House et al., 2009). A better understanding of relationships between anatomical geometry and cervical loading has direct clinical relevance. For example, modification of the uterocervical angle was postulated to be the mechanism of PTB prevention for the Arabin pessary in recent clinical trials (Goya et al., 2012).

Fetal membrane material properties and adhesion to the lower uterine segment: An under-recognized factor that influences the amount of stress in the cervical tissue is the fetal membrane. The degree of adhesion between the fetal membrane and lower uterine segment and the material properties of the fetal membrane influence the amount of load that is placed on the cervix (Fernandez et al., in press; Paskaleva, 2007). Simulation reveals that load sharing occurs between the membrane and cervix when the interface is intact (Fernandez et al., in press; Paskaleva, 2007) and when the stiffness of the fetal membrane is high (Fernandez et al., in press). When the adhesion is disrupted or if the fetal membrane stiffness decreases, cervical stresses are increased. We expect a better understanding of the mechanical role of the fetal membrane will help clarify (1) how the cervix shortens in response to fundal pressure (the dynamic cervix) and (2) the mechanics of membrane prolapse, which is observed in cases of premature cervical shortening.

Static loading: In the absence of the uterine contractions, the cervix is loaded by intrauterine pressure and gravity. In addition, growth of the amniotic sac results in tensile stresses from the uterine wall. These forces also depend on the support action of pelvic floor structures and abdominal wall. We hypothesize that static loading through a combination of uterine growth, hydrostatic pressure and gravity are the dominant loads that cause cervical shortening. Most patients with cervical shortening show no clinical signs of preterm uterine contractions. A better understanding of these static loads is critically needed for a better understanding of cervical deformation.

Uterine contractions: Although uterine contractility is clearly important for preterm cervical changes, many patients with preterm uterine contractions do not develop cervical shortening. In contrast, cervical shortening is often seen when preterm contractions are not prominent. An explanation for these contrasting observations is lacking and highlights a significant knowledge gap. An important goal of future efforts will be study the relative contributions of static loading and uterine contractility and their relative contribution to cervical shortening.

Table 1

Cervical tissue tensile tangent moduli from uni-axial ex vivo mechanical tests. The strain level reported is location of the tangent moduli. PG—pregnant, NP—nonpregnant, ex.—external, int.—internal, circ.—circular, longitudinal, long.—longitudinal, PGE2—Prostaglandin E2, CI—cervical insufficiency, instan—instantaneous, eq.—equilibrium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Specimen description</th>
<th>Strain rate %/ Strain level</th>
<th>Tangent modulus (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conrad and Ueland, 1976</td>
<td>NP and PG Term ex. os biopsy (5 × 10 mm)</td>
<td>Rate: 0.22 %/s Level: 0.8</td>
<td>NP: 0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrad and Ueland (1979)</td>
<td>PG Term Non-treated PG Term Oxytocin- &amp; PGE2-treated ex. os biopsy</td>
<td>Rate: 0.22 %/s Level: 0.8</td>
<td>PG: 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrad et al. (1980)</td>
<td>NP int. os rectangular circ. strips in 3 radial zones</td>
<td>Rate: 1.11 %/s Level NP: 0.61 Level PG: 0.93</td>
<td>NP instan: 0.00643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rechberger et al. (1988)</td>
<td>NP and PG at post partum ex. os biopsy (2 × 2 × 12 mm)</td>
<td>Rate: 5.55 %/s Strain: level: 0.7</td>
<td>NP inst os circum: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen et al. (1991)</td>
<td>NP int. and ex. os biopsy taken in circ. and long. directions (1–2 × 10 mm)</td>
<td>Rate: 4.17 %/s Level: 0.49</td>
<td>NP inst os circum: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxlund et al. (2010a,b)</td>
<td>NP with and w/out history of CI ex. os biopsy taken in long. direction (2 × 15 mm)</td>
<td>Rate: 0.1 %/s Level NP: 0.25 Level PG: 0.35</td>
<td>NP instan: 0.837 eq. 0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers et al. (2010)</td>
<td>NP and PG Term rectangular circ. strips (2 × 4 × 10 mm)</td>
<td>Rate: 0.1 %/s Level NP: 0.25 Level PG: 0.35</td>
<td>NP instan: 0.003 eq. 0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.2. Cervical stroma ECM components and remodeling

Along with anatomical and loading considerations, the biological composition of the cervix and its surrounding tissues play key roles in how the weight of the fetus will be supported. The load-bearing qualities of these hydrated soft tissues are due to the ECM of the stroma. The cervix is a layered structure with a large core of dense connective tissue called the stroma. The cervical ECM components are maintained by interspersed cervical fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells, where the ECM remodels during pregnancy. (A review of human cervical ECM is presented by House et al. (2009b), with a summary and update of key ECM features and open research questions given here.)

The stroma is primarily hydrated, approximately 75–80% for nonpregnant and 85% for term pregnant tissue (House et al., 2009b). Its ECM is composed of a preferentially-aligned (Aspden, 1988; Gan et al., 2015) and crosslinked (Zork et al., 2015) collagen network that is embedded in a viscous groundsubstance of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) (Myers et al., 2009; Osmers et al., 1993; Rechberger et al., 1988; Shimizu et al., 1980; Uldbjerg et al., 1983). The type and amount of collagen crosslinking and GAGs, the directionality and dispersion of collagen fibers, the relative amount of cells versus connective tissue, and the content of other macromolecular proteins are still being elucidated for nonpregnant and pregnant tissue. The majority of studies have been conducted on ex vivo hysterectomy samples, which are limited to nonpregnant tissue and rare cases of cesarean hysterectomy. ECM remodeling characteristics for human tissue during pregnancy are largely unknown because getting access to the entire cervix, from internal to external os, is rare. Most ECM remodeling studies have been conducted on rodent models of pregnancy, which are hypothesized to have similar ECM changes as humans (Akgul et al., 2012; Akins et al., 2010, 2011; Holt et al., 2011; Mahendroo, 2012; Timmons et al., 2010b), although comparison between animal models and humans is lacking.

3.2.1. Cervical collagen

The cervical collagen fiber network is a collection of entangled and crosslinked collagen fibers, where deformation mechanisms most likely occur at length-scales ranging from the molecule to the tissue (~10 nm–10 mm). According to standard colorimetric biochemical assays of biopsy and hysterectomy tissue samples, the collagen content (Types I and III) can range from 54 to 77% of the tissue's dry weight (House et al., 2009b). Reports on the collagen content on term pregnant tissue vary greatly, with reports stating that the collagen content does not decrease during pregnancy (Myers et al., 2009) and reports from others stating it can decrease up to 40% (Uldbjerg et al., 1983). Interestingly, collagen content per dry weight for nonpregnant tissue taken at the internal and external os measured via ultra performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-ESI-MS/MS) is measured to be 34% (Zork et al., 2015). Again, it is unclear why there is such a discrepancy in the reported data for collagen content. Sample size, location, and preparation can answer some of these questions. A large scale mapping study of the collagen network is currently underway (Gan et al., 2015; Zork et al., 2015).

Collagen fiber bundles arrange themselves within the cervix according to anatomical directions (Fig. 1B). The main feature of this fiber ultrastructure is the circumferential band of fibers circling around the inner canal. Early reports suggest that there are zones of preferential collagen fibers, with a middle zone of circumferential collagen and an inner and outer zone of fibers parallel to the inner canal (Aspden, 1988; Weiss et al., 2006). The existence and size of these zones are still being elucidated for the human cervix in both the nonpregnant and pregnant state. Early reports of the collagen organization of the pregnant tissue show that the collagen fibers still maintain their preferential direction, but they are more disorganized and dispersed about the main fiber direction (Gan et al., 2015).

The collagen fibers themselves have a characteristic wave and crimp (Fig. 1C) that influence the nonlinearity of the material response. The fibers are composed of collagen fibrils, which are composed of crosslinked triple-helix collagen molecules. The amount and type of collagen crosslinks are still being elucidated. A recent UPLC-ESI-MS/MS study reveals that nonpregnant tissue contains a mix of mature trivalent crosslinks [pyridinoline (PYD) and deoxypyridinoline (DPD)], immature divergent crosslinks [dihydroxylysinoorneurine (DHLNL)], and the nonenzymatic glycated end product pentosidine [PEN] (Fig. 1D) (Zork et al., 2015). On a mole-per-mole basis the collagen crosslink density for nonpregnant tissue is 0.123, 0.045, 0.094, and 0.005 for PYD, DPD, DHLNL, and PEN, respectively (Zork et al., 2015). The mature crosslinks are hypothesized to confer collagen fiber stiffness (Marturano et al., 2014), where an increase in collagen crosslinks may cause an alteration to the magnitude and nonlinearity of the collagen fiber material response. These crosslinks have yet to be measured for pregnant human tissue and correlated to mechanical properties for human tissue.

Cervical remodeling during pregnancy has been measured mainly in rodent tissue and in some human tissue studies (Akins et al., 2011; Danforth, 1983, 1947; Danforth et al., 1960, 1974; Holt et al., 2011; Leppert, 1992, 1995, 1998; Timmons et al., 2010b; Word et al., 2007; Yoshida et al., 2014a,b), where cervical softening during pregnancy is shown to depend on alterations in the collagen fiber organization, collagen fiber crosslinking, and the GAG and water content. In our preliminary collagen studies, we find evidence of collagen remodeling during pregnancy at multiple hierarchical length-scales of the collagen fiber network. Interestingly, the total collagen content per dry weight is thought to not change throughout remodeling in both humans (Myers et al., 2009) and mice (Akins et al., 2011; Yoshida et al., 2014a). Instead, pregnant human tissue is more soluble in weak acids indicating a reduction of collagen crosslinks (Myers et al., 2009). In mouse cervical tissue, there is a significant decrease in mature crosslinks (pyridinoline [PYD] and deoxypyridinoline [DPD]) during the cervical softening phase in early pregnancy (Yoshida et al., 2014a). This collagen crosslink decrease is accompanied by a decrease in the structural stiffness of whole tissue specimens. After this early softening stage, there is a continued decrease in mechanical properties as gestation progresses but no further decrease in cervical collagen crosslinking density. Hence, this finding indicates that different mechanisms take over in the later stages of cervical remodeling to further soften the tissue. These collagen crosslinking changes remain to be determined for human tissue.

3.2.2. Glycosaminoglycan ground substance

The contents of the ground substance are still being elucidated, and the role of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) remains to be determined. Cervical GAGs are present either in the form of proteoglycans (e.g. dermatan sulfate in decorin), or they are embedded in the matrix without a core protein (e.g. hyaluronic acid (HA)) (Myers et al., 2009; Osmers et al., 1993; Shimizu et al., 1980; Uldbjerg et al., 1983). The decorin acts as a collagen organizer, and in other load-bearing tissues it is responsible for maintaining a uniform collagen fibril diameter and consistent collagen fibril distance (Danielson et al., 1997). In the cervical stroma, the role of HA is to imbibe fluid to maintain the osmotic swelling pressure, counteracting the volume restoring forces of the crosslinked collagen. In pregnancy it is hypothesized that the HA is responsible for increasing the swelling to break up the vulnerable collagen network during the last phase of cervical remodeling just before...
dilation (Akgul et al., 2012). However, recent evidence shows that there is no change in cervical hydration in HA knock-out mice (Akgul et al., 2014).

4. Cervical tissue mechanical properties

Characterizing the material behavior of human cervical tissue using either in vivo or ex vivo methodologies remains a challenge. Each methodology has advantages and drawbacks in terms of its ability to capture tissue remodeling characteristics of pregnant tissue or to obtain enough data to derive fully predictive 3D constitutive material equations. Animal models of pregnancy offer opportunities to test gestation-timed, genetically-altered, or chemically-treated samples. However, it is unclear whether the factors driving tissue remodeling in these animal models are similar enough to humans, e.g., the hormonal landscape and/or the in vivo loading state of the cervix (Elowitz and Mrinalini, 2004). Given such challenges, constitutive model development and understanding the mechanical environment of pregnancy must rely on several complementary approaches. Here we describe the conclusions gained from recent reports on the mechanical properties of the human cervix, discuss the validation of multiple results from separate studies, and describe how these results can be used together to draw conclusions about the role of the cervix in pregnancy.

4.1. Ex vivo tissue analysis

Ex vivo mechanical tests allow for control over definitions of boundary and loading conditions such that careful analysis of the tissue’s stress response to various loading scenarios can be assessed. Age is known to affect the mechanical properties of nonpregnant cervical tissue. Spherical indentation tests reveal that older samples have a lower force response to compressive indentation (Yao et al., 2014), while in another study the uni-axial tensile response to loading for older tissue is higher (Oxlund et al., 2010b). Age is an important factor because most ex vivo studies have been conducted on hysterectomy specimens, and the age of the hysterectomy patient is often older than that of a typical pregnant patient. Mechanical testing data on pregnant tissue samples are rare because collecting tissue samples is, of course, difficult. Additionally, pregnant tissue is fragile and tends to damage under specimen preparation and loading. Whole tissue samples have been obtained and tested from cesarean hysterectomy patients with similar obstetric backgrounds (as seen in Table 1) Myers et al., 2008, 2010; Oxlund et al., 2010a,b; Yao et al., 2014. Continued mechanical testing is needed to determine the extent of anisotropy, heterogeneity, and time-dependent visco- or poroelastic deformation mechanisms on the material behavior of the tissue at different length and time scales. Once these challenges are overcome and an appropriate constitutive model is derived, datasets in the literature can be better interpreted and compared.

4.2. In vivo tissue analysis

In vivo interrogation of the cervix during pregnancy allows characterization of longitudinal changes over the course of the gestation. The driving motivation for the development of in vivo tools is both investigational and diagnostic in nature, where the ultimate clinical goal is to uncover cervical biomarkers that are predictive of sPBT. Before this clinical goal is met, engineering challenges must be overcome. These challenges include noninvasive design while sufficiently probing the tissue and interpretation of results given complex material behavior and boundary conditions. Here we review the latest in vivo mechanical testing data on human cervical tissue, and discuss the advances of mechanical aspiration and ultrasound on the study of cervical material characterization.

4.2.1. Balloon inflation – EndoFlip

The most recent mechanical testing data for pregnant cervical tissue reports the inflation-displacement response of 5 early pregnant and 6 term pregnant patients, where the inner canal of the cervix was pressurized using a balloon inflation device called the EndoFlip (Hee et al., 2014). Because of the positioning of the device in the inner canal, it was able to capture the deformation behavior from the internal to the external os. The pressure-displacement results are interpreted based on a fiber composite material model that assumes three preferentially aligned fiber families homogeneously distributed throughout a thick-walled cylinder (Liao et al., 2014). Fiber directionality is informed by a separate set of x-ray diffraction data, which elucidates the fiber directionality of the collagen in nonpregnant cervix (Aspden, 1988). The balloon is deployed sufficiently slowly such that it is assumed that the transient deformation mechanisms have died away.

Comparing pressure-displacement profiles between the early and late pregnancy groups, results indicate cervical softening from early to late pregnancy (Hee et al., 2014). Further, inner canal
displacement is even along the cervical length in the late pregnant patients, suggesting that the external os remodels to the same extent as the internal os, which conflicts with other studies. This may be because balloon inflation response is influenced by the complex boundary conditions and shape of the cervical anatomy.

For example, pressure-displacement results are influenced by potential bending of the cervix and the complex interplay between the cervix, lower uterine segment, and fetal membrane. This example of boundary condition interaction highlights the challenges in interpreting in vivo mechanical testing data. An approach that employs accurate geometric factors and additional information in regard to the tissue’s ultrastructure is needed to determine complementary tissue material properties. Regardless, these results are invaluable because obtaining such data is difficult to accomplish. Combining these data, along with other evidence, should aid in the determination of cervical remodeling characteristics.

4.2.2. Mechanical aspiration

The aspiration technique is among the few existing quantitative, in situ and in vivo methods to determine biomechanical properties of soft human tissue. It uses a handheld device applied on the tissue of interest during noninvasive procedures, as well as in minimally invasive and invasive surgery. It employs a measuring principle called pipette aspiration, originally developed to handle cells under the microscope and later to investigate their mechanical properties. This approach enables local, non-destructive and hence, in vivo-comparable measurement of soft tissue biomechanical properties.

Applications on the left and intra-abdominal organs (Hollenstein et al., 2013; Mazza et al., 2007) provide a basis for benchmarking corresponding constitutive model formulations and information about changes related to various pathologies. First aspiration measurements on the cervix were reported in 2009 (Bauer et al., 2009; Mazza et al., 2006).

Measurement procedure: After speculum insertion, visual monitoring via a mini-camera allows gentle placement of the tip of the aspiration tube on the anterior lip of the distal cervix that is protruding into the vagina. The pressure in the tube is reduced by extraction of air through a thin pipe via a peristaltic pump and the cervical tissue is pulled into the aspiration cylinder through the circular opening (8 mm diameter) until the tissue vault reaches and closes the thin pipe at four millimeters peak extension. The obtained value of the closing (suction) pressure $p_D$ is a measure of the stiffness of the tissue. During a typical experiment, a negative suction pressure of up to 500 mbar is applied. The online version of Badir et al. (2013a) includes a video showing a representative aspiration measurement on the cervix.

Results of measurements on the pregnant cervix: Fig. 3 summarizes the results of aspiration measurements at different gestational ages (Badir et al., 2013b, 2013a). Lower values of $p_D$ correspond to lower stiffness. In a series of 448 measurements in patients throughout pregnancy ($n=50$) and on nonpregnant subjects ($n=50$), stiffness in early pregnancy (first trimester) is significantly lower than in the nonpregnant cervix. Further, the negative pressure needed to deform the cervix ($p_D$) decreases during gestation, indicating a decrease in stiffness. After delivery (average 6 weeks postpartum) consistency recovers to the level of early pregnancy.

The stiffness in late pregnancy drops by a factor of 5 when compared to the nonpregnant cervix (Fig. 3). In Maurer et al. (2015) and Mazza et al. (this issue) it is shown that these results are in line with the findings reported by Parra-Saavedra et al. (2011) using the cervical consistency index (CCI), a semi-quantitative measurement of cervical softness obtained by applying pressure to the cervix with a transvaginal probe and quantifying the degree of cervical compression via ultrasound imaging. The time course of biomechanical changes, as indicated by aspiration and CCI measurements, is different from that of cervical length, suggesting that the two approaches might provide different or complementary information. The results of aspiration measurements can also be used to verify the predictive capabilities of constitutive models of cervical tissue. However, this technique suffers from important limitations which might affect its relevance for both diagnosis and biomechanical characterization, as explained in the following paragraphs.

The influence of measurement uncertainties is analyzed in Badir et al. (2013a) based on corresponding finite element based parametric studies using a neo-Hookean material (Fig. 3). The two factors with influence on the measurement of $p_D$ are: (i) the friction coefficient between aspirator and cervix, and (ii) the contact force applied when placing the aspirator on the cervix. The values of $p_D$ are shown to be affected by up to $\pm 15\%$ due to this uncertainty, which is in line with evaluations of repeatability of aspiration measurements reported in Badir et al. (2013a). Thus, part of the scatter associated with $p_D$ values of each gestational age (Fig. 3) is due to the difficulties of controlling contact conditions between aspirator and cervix. That said, cervical length assessment and ultrasound based methods (see next section) are subject to similar variability.

Another potential limitation in the context of biomechanical characterization of the cervix is that the aspiration test interrogates a relatively small amount of tissue, and only on the distal cervix. This measurement depends on the properties of cervical tissue up to only 10 mm below the epithelium (Badir et al., 2013a), shown by the extension of the region with large deformations shown in Fig. 3 (axial and circumferential strain). Thus, no conclusions can be drawn about the mechanical properties of the middle or the proximal cervix based on the aspiration measurement, and a constitutive model developed to represent the response of the distal cervix as observed in aspiration experiments fails to represent the mechanical behavior of the bulk organ (Maurer et al., this issue). Consequently, more information is needed in order to predict cervical deformation when subjected to physiological loading.

Further, as shown in Fig. 3, the state of deformation is multi-axial, with regions subjected to large elongation and others to large compression, in radial, circumferential and axial directions of the organ. This state of loading is representative of physiological conditions in terms of duration (quasi-static loading) and magnitude (large strains), but not in terms of direction. In fact, the most prominent values of elongation, extending over a large region below the epithelium, are those related to the axial direction (LE-axial in Fig. 3), while physiological loading is expected to elongate the cervix mainly in circumferential direction. Positive strains in this direction are present in the aspiration experiment (red area in circumferential strain, Fig. 3) but they are confined to the surface, close to the epithelium, where the ECM, does not contain the same high density of collagen fibers as the rest of the organ. For small strains, good agreement was found (Badir et al., 2013a) between the mechanical model parameters obtained from an inverse analysis of the aspiration experiment and the results from ex vivo mechanical testing of cervical tissue in Myers et al. (2010). This might indicate that the findings from aspiration measurements can be used to characterize the non-collagenous part of the ECM, having significant influence on the small strain mechanical response. In other words, characterization of the evolution of this component of the ECM during pregnancy might be relevant clinically, and studies to investigate this are currently underway.

4.2.3. Ultrasound

Ultrasound techniques have a distinct advantage in in vivo soft tissue assessment because they are noninvasive and the equipment is
The ECM has been shown to be a major contributing source of backscatter (echo signals) in soft tissue (Hall et al., 2000). Standard ultrasound methods provide grayscale images that are proportional to the echo signal amplitude, but the amplitude, and therefore those images, depends on soft tissue characteristics as well as ultrasound system settings. Quantitative ultrasound (QUS) methods can overcome some of these limitations because they directly address soft tissue characteristics are less reliant on system settings, QUS methods applicable to the cervix can be generally categorized into techniques that are primarily sensitive to changes in the time-dependent material response of the cervix tissue, tissue hydration status, or ECM (specifically collagen) structure, although these properties are interrelated (Feltovich et al., 2012).

Palpation-type elastography: Palpation-type elastography (quasistatic elastography) typically produces images of mechanical strain, the relative (local) deformation of the tissue. These methods were initially developed for breast imaging but soon after were extended to the prostate, thyroid and beyond. The basic concept is that an ultrasound image (usually the underlying radiofrequency (RF) echo signal or the analytic signal version of the same information) is acquired under an initial loading condition (such as the transducer barely in contact with the tissue). After a small deformation, another ultrasound image is acquired. The source and magnitude of the deformation varies among the systems and tissues of interest. In some cases the deformation is on the order of a few hundred microns at the transducer surface and is typically obtained by lightly pressing on the tissue with the ultrasound transducer. In other cases the deformation is on the order of a few microns and can be applied in a number of ways (such as quiver of the hand holding the transducer, or physiological motion of the subject or organ). Regardless, the two ultrasound images are compared to track motion and calculate strain resulting from the incremental load.

The results of these studies are most easily interpreted when the loading conditions result in uniform stress fields. One caution is that, because most tissues have nonlinear material behavior, the results of these studies can be highly dependent on loading conditions. In the most successful applications of strain elastography, the clinical task is to detect and characterize a local variation in tissue stiffness (such as a tumor) surrounded by normal tissue. Without the use of numerically-intensive modulus reconstructions (Barbone and Bamber, 2002), these methods are not sensitive to overall changes in tissue stiffness. The technique has been attempted by several groups on the cervix, all of which found it challenging to standardize the transducer force for meaningful data interpretation and comparisons between patients (Hernandez-Andrade et al., 2014; Molina et al., 2012; Swiatkowska-Freund and Preis, 2011). Molina et al. noted no statistically significant differences between cervices except in the precise area that received the force from the transducer. Various approaches are currently being employed to address this problem of transducer force standardization (Fruscalzo et al., 2014; Hee et al., 2013; Hernandez-Andrade et al., 2014).

Shear wave elastography: The fact that acoustic wave energy is lost as it propagates through tissue means that there is a net acoustic (radiation) force on the tissue. If the intensity of the wave is high enough, and the duration of the acoustic pulse long enough, the net force on the tissue will be large enough to cause a local (in the location of the acoustic beam) displacement of the tissue on the order of a few microns. This is sufficient motion to induce a transverse wave in the tissue (generally referred to as a shear wave). Since the shear wave speed in soft tissue is on the order of 1–10 m/s, and the ultrasound wave travels a thousand times faster, ultrasound imaging techniques can be used to monitor the propagation of the shear wave and measure its speed. The speed of the shear wave is, under idealized conditions, proportional to the shear modulus of the tissue (Palmeri et al., 2013). Although the results are dependent on initial loading conditions due to the nonlinear elasticity of most tissues, the results are far less dependent on the skills of the user, unlike palpation-type elastography techniques. Further, the methods provide a measure proportional to absolute, as opposed to relative, stiffness and therefore are well suited to estimating changes in overall tissue stiffness (not just local variations in stiffness).

To measure shear wave speeds (SWS) in the cervix, we used a prototype transducer (128 element, 3 mm diameter, 14 mm aperture) because the small aperture array was essential for these studies given that tissue assessment occurs very close to the transducer surface. Further, the pressure distribution near the transducer surface is very complicated and the location of the peak pressure can be relatively far away from the beam axis, which can induce a depth-dependent bias in shear wave speed estimates. These problems were avoided with this transducer. We demonstrated that shear wave speeds (SWS) are sensitive to the stiffness differences in ripened (softened with a prostaglandin agent used clinically for preparing the cervix for invasive procedures or induction of labor) versus unripened cervical tissue both ex vivo and in vivo (Carlson et al., 2014a,b). Additionally we demonstrated that in the former, SWS estimates vary within the cervix (Carlson et al., 2014a), consistent with reported variation in the ECM based on early studies (Aspden, 1988; Danforth, 1983). An in vivo feasibility study of this technique before and after cervical ripening for induction of labor at term demonstrated a highly statistically significant decrease in stiffness (Carlson et al., 2014b). This technique shows promise for assessing cervical softness, although a significant limitation is that precise acquisition and prototype
equipment seems necessary to make appropriate conclusions. Another is that this technique provides information on the response to small strain and high rate of deformation, where additional mechanical tests are needed to validate a hyperelastic form of a material constitutive model.

**Attenuation:** Acoustic attenuation is a measure of the rate of loss in acoustic pressure as a wave propagates. It primarily addresses hydrratio status, but also collagen structure. The mechanisms for loss of acoustic wave energy are unknown in the cervix, but it has been proposed that changes in tissue hydration and the amount of unbound interstitial material affects attenuation in the cervix, thus attenuation would change throughout pregnancy (McFarlin et al., 2010). Controlled studies in phantoms and animal models have demonstrated that attenuation parameters can be accurately measured and the results are independent of the imaging system used (Nam et al., 2011; Wirtzfeld et al., 2010, 2013, in press). Cross-sectional data during human pregnancy showed a trend toward decreasing attenuation with gestational age, although there was a very large variance in the attenuation estimates (Labyed et al., 2011).

Our recent studies in nonpregnant ripened versus unripened hysterectomy specimens demonstrated that controlling for expected sources of anatomical variation in collagen structure significantly reduces variance in attenuation estimates (Guerrero et al., 2014). Studies in structurally aligned tissues have shown that attenuation is dependent on the angle between the acoustic beam and structural orientation (Insana et al., 1992; Mottley and Miller, 1990; Nassiri et al., 1979; Topp and O'Brien, 2000) and attenuation versus steering angle provides evidence for aligned structures in the cervical ECM (presumably collagen). As well, attenuation estimates in nonpregnant ripened versus unripened hysterectomy specimens correlate with SWS findings.

**Backscatter:** Acoustic backscatter is the formal name for the echo signals (ultrasound waves) received back by an ultrasound transducer after they have been sent into a tissue or phantom. This US technique most directly addresses collagen structure. When ultrasound beams are electronically steered away from normal incidence using early reports of fiber directionality (Aspden, 1988; Gan et al., 2015). They differ such that the material model based on the optical coherence tomography data and ex vivo mechanical tests uses a continuously distributed elliptical fiber distribution (EFD) (Gan et al., 2015; Myers et al., this issue), where fibers can only sustain tensile stresses, and the 3-fiber model based on nonpregnant x-ray diffraction and EndoFlip data uses a fiber

![Fig. 4. Comparing fiber composite material models proposed in Myers et al. (this issue) and Liao et al. (2014) for the human cervix. Principal strain magnitude and directionality are calculated within a thick-walled cylinder (inner radius = 8 mm, outer radius = 28 mm, length = 38 mm) under compressive longitudinal pressure for an elliptically-shaped continuous fiber distribution (EFD) material model (Myers et al., this issue) fit to NP and PC ex vivo mechanical data and a 3-fiber family model fit to PC in vivo pressure-dilation data (Liao et al., 2014). The EFD material produces a more uniform strain pattern compared to the 3-fiber family model, where the 3-fiber family model produces a twist of the cylinder under uniform compression. The two models predict similar levels of longitudinal compression for the term pregnant condition. However, the two models predict different patterns of strain, where the 3-fiber family model produces a larger gradient of strain through the thickness of the cylinder.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.02.065)
network with no fiber dispersion and the fiber strain energy density can sustain both tension and compression (Aspden, 1988; Hee et al., 2014; Liao et al., 2014). The third model, fit to the aspiration data, is a neo-Hookean material, where the tissue is assumed to be incompressible (Badir et al., 2013a). This modeling strategy is suitable because one set of mechanical testing data is considered and the collagen ultrastructure is mostly unknown in the pregnancy.

To compare material properties reported in these studies, the principal strain response of a thick-walled cylinder under longitudinal compression (Fig. 4) was calculated using FEA (methodology reported in Myers et al., this issue). Cervical material models and corresponding parameters for the EFD fiber, 3-fiber family, and the neo-Hookean models are reported in Myers et al. (this issue), Liao et al. (2014) and Badir et al. (2013a), respectively. Under a uniform compression of the cylinder, the 3-fiber family model produces a twist about the inner canal because of the helical fibers circumferentially ringing around the inner canal (Aspden, 1988; Liao et al., 2014), whereas the EFD and neo-Hookean materials do not produce this twist. Both fiber composite models, with preferential circumferential fibers, reduce the amount of circumferential strain. However, because the 3-fiber family material model does not have fiber reinforcement in the radial direction, strains in this direction are larger than an EFD prediction with similar fiber moduli. Additionally, there is a large gradient in the radial and circumferential strain for the 3-fiber family model because the 3-fiber families are fully aligned with no dispersion. The EFD produces a small strain gradient from the inner to the outer radius, and as expected the isotropic neo-Hookean model produces the same amount of radial and circumferential strains (0.083, 0.17, and 0.32 for NP, 1st trimester, 2nd trimester tissue, respectively, under 2 kPa of compressive load and 0.05 for 3rd trimester tissue under 0.18 kPa).

These predicted deformation patterns need to be validated with bulk tissue mechanical tests. However, overlapping findings from these studies confirm that the term pregnant cervix is orders of magnitude softer than the nonpregnant cervix. Additionally, comparing the nonpregnant ex vivo EFD results with the in vivo EndoFlip and aspirator data, early softening is present in the 1st trimester of pregnancy. In terms of longitudinal strain, the results from all three models predicted similar values, with 0.18, 0.15, and 0.08 strain throughout the cylinder for the EFD, 3-fiber family, and neo-Hookean models, respectively.

5. In summary: integrating strategies

Complementary methods to characterize cervical remodeling during pregnancy can help derive a fully descriptive material constitutive model for tissue behavior under mechanical loading. Mechanical characterization methodologies described here have limitations and advantages. In terms of capturing overall bulk tissue properties during cervical remodeling, in vivo QUS methods and mechanical aspiration offer noninvasive techniques to characterize cervical properties during pregnancy. These tools are critical to understand cervical tissue changes in early pregnancy and to develop mechanical biomarkers for diagnosis. The major technical advantage of in vivo methods is the ability to conduct longitudinal studies in pregnancy to relate cervical remodeling to clinical outcomes. Small studies of biomechanical and QUS parameters in human ex vivo and in vivo tissue support a model of cervical remodeling that is consistent with animal studies, specifically, that early softening is primarily due to loss of mature collagen crosslinking with preservation of general collagen alignment (microstructure) while late ripening is associated with marked increase in tissue hydration within a vulnerable ECM (Mahendroo, 2012; Yoshida et al., 2014a).

That said, this is a challenging problem and much more information about both ex vivo and in vivo human tissue is necessary for comprehensive understanding of the complex process of cervical remodeling. In terms of constitutive model development, QUS and mechanical aspiration data are limited by complex boundary conditions, contact, testing locations, directionality, strain levels, and strain rates. In vivo mechanical aspiration is currently limited to interrogation of the external os. Conversely, while QUS methods can interrogate the entire structure, they involve small strain and high rate of deformation, which are difficult to interpret on soft anisotropic materials, which in turns makes fitting a 3-D material constitutive model to intrinsic tissue material properties a challenge. Ex vivo mechanical testing offers insight into the large deformation tissue material behavior and allows for predictive material modeling, but ex vivo mechanical tests are limited by possible tissue damage, possible tissue degradation, tissue swelling, ill definitions of the tissue micro and ultrastructure, and the inability to access pregnant tissue. These drawbacks are apparent in the wide range of tensile moduli reported in the literature (Table 1).

Extensive cross-validation of ex vivo and in vivo methodologies is needed to identify meaningful and comparative cervical tissue material properties. This requires overcoming the limitations outlined here, which can certainly be facilitated through a multidisciplinary approach, encompassing medical imaging, quantitative ultrasound, fresh tissue imaging, in vivo mechanical interrogation, advanced material modeling, biochemical analysis, and correlation with animal models of normal and abnormal cervical remodeling. We hope this approach will allow reframing of clinical definitions of cervical remodeling and deformation based on intrinsic material property changes, and rigorous definitions of mechanical loading conditions and strain with respect to the reference configuration of the cervix. Through combining these individual approaches in a collaborative engineering-clinical framework, we hope to begin to develop a biomechanical rubric that will aid in understanding the mechanical function of the cervix, and its role in spontaneous preterm birth.
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